

G. L. BAJAJ INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AND MANAGEMENT PLOT NO. 2, KNOWLEDGE PARK-III, GREATER NOIDA, UTTAR PRADESH

FACULTY APPRAISAL AND DEVELOPEMENT POLICY

(Approved in the 20th BOG meeting held on 19-05-2018 vide agenda Item no. 20.8)

G.L. Bajaj Institute of Technology & Management
Plot No. 2, Knowledge Park - III,
Greater Noida - 201306 (U. P.)

Managed By

: Rajiv Memorial Academic Welfare Society, Mathura

Approved By

: All India Council for Technical Education, New Delhi

Affiliated To

: Dr.A.P.J. Abdul Kalam Technical University, Lucknow

FACULTY APPRAISAL AND DEVELOPMENT POLICY

1.0 Introduction

The role of teachers is critical in enhancing education standards in any academic institution. Improving the quality of education depend in large measure, on ensuring that teachers are well qualified, highly skilled, well-resourced and motivated to perform with aplomb. The success of any institution depends on the quality of its teachers. Therefore, those institutes which have quality teachers are the winners.

In ancient Indian literature four attributes for teachers are advocated, namely, "Sadvartanam" (holy/pious/good conduct), "Vidwat" (knowledge based on expertise, experience), "Adhyapana Kaushalyam" (teaching "Shishyapriyatwam" (love and affection for pupil). Vidwat" & "Adhyapana Kaushalyam" are path dependent. It is essential to know the strengths of teachers ("Vidwat" & "Adhyapana Kaushalyam") and their performance which could be further developed through a structured Faculty Appraisal and Development Policy.

From this perspective, a system of faculty appraisal and development policy is a vital step in the drive to improve the effectiveness of teaching & learning and in raising the educational standards of the Institute

Meaningful teacher evaluation involves an accurate appraisal of the effectiveness of teaching, its strengths and areas for development, followed by feedback, counseling, support and opportunities for professional development. It is also essential to recognize and reward the work of a teacher.

The goal of assessing teachers is to determine their effectiveness in teaching and to identify and to correct deficiencies within the operating system of the institution. Faculty Appraisal and Development Policy, therefore, is meant to highlight areas where faculty members have encountered difficulties in the discharge of their duties, and to identify appropriate remedies.

In this context, following policy and procedures are formulated for implementation of Faculty Appraisal and Development Policy. (This is in tune with the Affiliating University / UGC / AICTE / Government of Uttar Pradesh norms).

Roles & Responsibilities of Teachers:

Teachers have the responsibility to ensure that students gain the knowledge and skills they require to become effective learners and ultimately become a responsible citizen. They also have a responsibility to meet high professional standards as per the need of the industries and complying the requirements of the statutory bodies such as Affiliating University, UGC, AICTE, NBA and Government of Uttar Pradesh. The responsibilities listed below provide guidance to teachers in executing their duties in three different broad areas - Administration, Research and Teaching.

- Teaching in classroom and laboratory
- Administration (Academic related)
- Learning resource development
- * Research, development and innovation.
- Self-development and acquisition of knowledge and skill
- Consultancy / interaction with industry
- Extension services/ Outreach activities
- Any other duty assigned by the appropriate authorities.

2.0 Policy:

2.1 Statement

"Every teacher shall submit Self-Appraisal Report (SAR) with computed Academic Performance Indicators (API) to the Director through HOD for evaluation at the end of each academic year / period of appraisal and the Director shall prescribe the necessary remedial measures for overall professional growth after review of SAR"

- 2.2 This policy is applicable to all teachers of the Institute. It is developed to regularly evaluate the performance of teachers. It helps to determine whether teachers' performance meet the required standards of statutory bodies time to time.
- 2.3 The policy is formulated to:
 - Identify both strengths and deficiencies of teachers
 - Encourage continuous professional growth.
 - Indicate remedial measures wherever necessary
 - * Ensure accountability
- 2.4 It stipulates the curricular, co-curricular and other profession related activities of teachers and the involvement of teachers in such activities (Refer Table-I).
- 2.5 It also prescribes the minimum API scores to be secured by teachers for the award of service benefits as given in Table-II
- 2.6 The Self-Appraisal Report (SAR) of each teacher shall be maintained by the Registrar Office with a copy in the department.

Registrar

3.0 Procedure:

- 3.1 The Faculty Appraisal and Development Policy consists of:
 - Self-Appraisal by the teacher
 - * Appraisal of the teacher by Head of the Department
 - Appraisal of the teacher by Director
 - · Feedback from the Director in terms of appreciation / observation / remedial measures, if any, to be communicated to the concerned teacher through HOD.
- 3.2 Every year, every teacher shall submit the SAR to his/her HOD in the prescribed format, which defines API.
- 3.3 The HOD will verify SAR in consultation with the teacher concerned. In case of any disagreement, the HOD shall record his/her evaluation/observations clearly indicating the reasons for variation in API scores in Section B of the SAR. Remedial measures, if any, shall also be mentioned in this Section.
- 3.4 The Director shall review SAR of each teacher and take suitable measures and record the same in Section C of SAR.
- 3.5 Every teacher shall give a presentation on his/her performance and accomplishments before Apex Academic Committee once in three years.
- 3.6 Additional increment(s) shall be given as special incentives, if API scores recorded are very good.

Failure to comply with the expected standards or requirements mentioned in clauses 1 to

If any faculty fails to comply with the requirements / standards as enunciated in clauses 1 to 3 of Faculty Appraisal and Development Policy, such a faculty member shall be issued a showcause notice.

4.0 Promotion Policy of AICTE shall be applicable while considering the promotion cases in all faculty cadres.

Table I: Documents / attributes to be considered for evaluation of appraisal. (MM: 200)

Category I: Teaching, Learning and Evaluation related activities					
Activity	Basis for Appraisal documents / attributes	Max. API Score			
Classroom instructions, laboratory and classes taken against allotted hours Learning resource development	Attendance register, Lesson plan, Course material, Test books, Marks statements / Academic Results, Students	120			
Evaluation related activities	feedback, Class coordinator report, Remedial action taken on identified weak students				

Activity	Basis for Appraisal	Max. API Score
Participation in FDPs/Refresher Courses	Appraisal to	
Participation in Conference / Seminar	be done on	35
Organization of workshops, seminars, training programmes, conferences etc., for faculty members	the basis of work allotted to & executed by	
Organization of training programme, Seminars, guest lectures, workshops, Field or Industrial Visits for students		
Contribution as a member or coordinator in various Departmental and Institutional committees	individual teacher and	
Institutional governance responsibilities like HOD, Dean, Center Supdt. Student Welfare Officer, Chief Proctor etc.	other relevant	
Membership of Academic / Professional bodies of other institutions like Governing Board, Advisory Board, Academic Council, Board of Studies, Editorial Board of Journals & Institutional Publications/Resource Person	documents	

Category III: Research and academic contributions		
Activity	Basis for Appraisal	Max. API Score
Books published	Based on	
Research Publications	relevant	45
Research projects	Documents	
Patents		
Consultancy projects		
Research guidance (M.Tech. / Ph.D) or Equivalent Research Work		

Activity	Basis for Appraisal documents / attributes	Max. API Score
Awards, Laurels, Honors and any other outstanding Achievement	Only to be mentioned, not to be considered for API Scores. This would be considered as special achievement and shall be appreciated at another platform	Not applicable

Table II: Minimum Academic Performance Indicators (API):

A teacher has to score the minimum API score per year as detailed below out of a maximum 200 of points to get service benefits category wise.

Category 1 - Satisfactory (3% annual increment).

Minimum API Scores required						
S. No.	Cadre	Category I (Max API : 120)	Category II & III (Max API : 80)	Total (Max API:200)	Or Overall as special case	
1	Assistant Professor	85	15	100	120	
2	Associate Professor	95	25	120	130	
3	Professor	95	35	130	140	

Category 2 - Good (3% annual + 3% additional increment).

Minimum API Scores required					
S. No.	Cadre	Category I (Max API : 120)	Category II & III (Max API : 80)	Total (Max API:200)	Or Overall as special case
1	Assistant Professor	95	25	120	140
2	Associate Professor	100	35	135	150
3	Professor	100	45 .	145	160

Category 3 - Excellent (3% annual + 6% additional increment).

	· M	inimum API Scores	required	
S. No.	Cadre	Category I (Max API : 120)	Category II & III (Max API : 80)	Total (Max API:200)
1	Assistant Professor	105	40	145
2	Associate Professor	110	50	. 160
3	Professor	110	55	165

Guidelines for computation of API Scores by Faculty members

The Faculty members shall use the following guidelines to fill API scores in the SAR.

CATEGORY I: TEACHING, LEARNING AND EVALUATION RELATED ACTIVITIES

To be computed semester wise for a maximum API score of 60 per semester. API score computed for Odd and Even semester to be summed up to present in SAR.

S. No.	activities	Maximum API Score Allotted/ semester	Scheme for computation of API score (By Faculty member)	Scheme for computation of API score to be awarded by HOD
1	Instructions: classroom teaching and laboratory classes taken against allotted hours		To be computed on the basis of percentage of class hours taken against the number of available class hours. 90% and above (10) 80% to 89.9% (8) 75% to 79.9% (5) Less than 75% (0)	To be computed on the basis of percentage of class hours taken against the number of available class hours and the feedback obtained from students regarding the effectiveness of teaching learning process
2	Coverage of Prescribed Syllabus	10	To be computed on the basis of percentage of syllabus completely covered during each semester 90% and above (10) 80% to 89.9% (8) 75% to 79.9% (5) Less than 75% (0)	To be computed on the basis of percentage Syllabus completely covered during each semester. To be verified from lesson plan and Class committee report and other feedback mechanism
3	Student Appraisal (Average if more than one subject)	.10	To be computed on the basis of student feedback during each semester. 95% and above (10) 85% to 94.9% (8) 70% to 84.9% (6) 60% to 69.9% (3) Less than 60% (0)	To be Verified on the basis of student feedback during each semester.

4	Teaching Beyond the Syllabus in the concerned course & Use of Information Communication Technology	15	Per topic (3) Use of ICT (3)/Per Course	HODs to verify this. API scores to be awarded based on the extent of the tasks Teaching beyond syllabus: To be shown in lesson plan
5	Sessional Test duties (Question paper setting and evaluation of answer scripts) as per duties allotted.	10	Question Paper Setting (4) Evaluation (6)	To be verified by the HODs based on feedback from the Exam Cell
6	Additional examination work such as coordination, invigilation, flying squad and such allied duties assigned by Exam Cell/ HODs	5	Details of work assigned	Verify the details and evaluate the assignment on the basis of feedback from Exam Cell or other related section.
		60		

CATEGORY- II: CO-CURRICULAR AND PROFESSION RELATED ACTIVITIES

To be computed at the end of the period of appraisal for a maximum API score of 35. (Not to be computed by faculty members. To be awarded by the HOD)

S. No	Co-curricular and profession related activities	Max. API Score Allotted	Computation of API score (By Faculty members)	Computation of API score to be awarded by HOD
1	Participation in FDPs / Refresher Courses	3	At least one FDP / Refresher course is attended	HOD to award score based on verifiable proofs
2	Participation in Conferences, Seminars	3	At least one Conference / Seminar is attended	HOD to award score based on verifiable proofs
3	Organizing of workshops, FDP, Seminars, Training programmes, conferences etc. for faculty members	3	(To be awarded by the HOD). Max. 3 faculty members will be allowed in an event.	HOD to award score depending upon the contribution of the faculty member organizing such event

4	Organizing of training programme, Seminars, guest lectures, workshops, Field or Industrial Visits for students	3	(To be awarded by the HOD). Max, 3 faculty members will be allowed in an event.	HOD to award score depending upon the contribution of the faculty member organizing such event
5	Membership of Department Level Committees.	2	(To be awarded by the HOD)	HOD to award score depending upon the contribution of the faculty member in such bodies
6	Membership of Institute Level Committees.	3	(To be awarded by the HOD)	HOD to award score depending upon the contribution of the faculty member in such bodies
7	Institutional governance responsibilities like HOD / Cell, Dean, Center Supdt., Chief Proctor etc.	.5	(To be awarded by the Director)	Award score based on performance
8	Membership of Academic bodies of other institutions like Governing Board, Advisory Board, Academic Council, Board of Studies, Editorial Board of Journals & Institutional Publications/Resource Person	5	(To be awarded by the HOD)	HOD to award score based on verifiable proofs
9	Membership of Professional bodies/ Technical Societies like IEEE, ISTE, SAE, CII, ICEIT etc.	4	(To be awarded by the HOD)	HOD to award score based on verifiable proofs
10	Compliance of Notice / Circulars issued by the competent authorities at Department Level	2	(To be awarded by the HOD)	Award score based on feedback from various authorities
11	Compliance of Notice / Circulars issued by the competent authorities at Institute Level	2	(To be awarded by the Director)	Award score based on feedback from various authorities
	Total	35		

CATEGORY - III: RESEARCH AND ACADEMIC CONTRIBUTIONS

To be computed at the end of the period of appraisal for a maximum API score of 45

S. No.	Co-curricular and profession related activities	Max.API Score Allotted	Computation of API score (By Faculty members)	Computation of API score to be awarded by HODs
1	Research Publications (Having scopus index)	10	National 4 points International 6 points	HOD to award score based on verifiable proofs
3	Books Publications	8	National 3 points International 5 points Chapter 2 (Nat.) 3 (Int.)	HOD to award score based on verifiable proofs
4	Sponsored Research Projects	8	On merit basis of impact of research project	HOD to award score based on verifiable proofs
5	Consultancy Projects	8	On merit basis of impact of Consultancy project	HOD to award score based on verifiable proofs
6	Research guidance (M.Tech. & Ph.D) or Equivalent or Academic Qualification up gradation (Ph.D)	5	On merit basis of impact of research guidance (outcome based)	HOD to award score based on verifiable proofs
8	Patents	6	On merit basis of impact of patent	HOD to award score based on verifiable proofs
	Total	45		

G.L. BAJAJ INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AND MANAGEMENT

Self-Appraisal Report

	(To be filled in by	the tead		CTION -		spective l	Head of I) Department)	
(Enter	n of Appraisal month of annual Increment)								Aug
Period (One month	of Appraisal year previous to the of appraisal) (in Block letters)					•	Eg:	Aug To	
Curre	nt Designation:		Alia -						
Depart	tment								
Date o	of Joining					Date of	Birth	-	
Qualif	ication:								
Degree	e / Diploma	University / Board				Specializati		on Pa	assing
O. L.						200			
Subject	cts taught during previous Subject with code	Sem	No.	No.	Pass		ance %	No. of She	eet Given
No 1	•		Appeare	Passed	%	Subject	Class	Assignme	
2								***	
3									
4				•					
5									
6	\$1000 B								

S. No	Particulars	previou	nding to one year us to Period of appraisal	Period of Appraisal (Eg: Aug to July		
1	Number of short-term courses/FDPs attended					
2	Number of training programmes seminars/workshops organized for faculty members					
3	Number of seminars/Workshops organized for students					
4	Awards, Honours & any outstanding achievements		ALL MANAGEMENT OF THE PROPERTY			
5	Number of Research Publications	Conferences	Journals	Conferences	Journals	
	Tubications	National:	National:	National:	National:	
		International:	International:	International:	International:	
6	Funded research proposal submitted to University/ DST/ UGC/ AICTE/etc.,					
7	Ongoing funded research projects (As Director investigator / co-investigator)	* ************************************				
8	Visit Abroad/ Interaction with Overseas University and organizations					
	Details of Review of Books/Papers etc., / Evaluation of Ph.D Thesis	3.04.00				
	Any other data you wish to add as special achievement which is equivalent to above					

Academic Performance Indicator (API) Scores

CATEGORY I: TEACHING, LEARNING AND EVALUATION RELATED ACTIVITIES

To be computed semester wise for a maximum API score of 60 per semester. API scores computed for previous two completed semesters to be summed up.

	Nature of Activity		Score						
S. No.	Teaching, learning and evaluation related	Max API	Self- Appraisal API		API Score Awarded by the				
	activities	Score Allotted	Odd Sem	Even Sem	Odd Sem	Even Sem	Total		
1	Instructions: classroom teaching and laboratory classes taken against allotted	10							
2	Coverage of Prescribed Syllabus	10							
3	Student Appraisal (Average if more than one subject)	10							
4	Teaching Beyond the Syllabus in the Concerned Course & Use of Information Communication Technology	15							
5	End Semester Examination duties (Question paper setting and evaluation of answer scripts) as per duties allotted.	10							
6	Additional work such as coordination, invigilation, flying squad and such allied duties assigned by Exam Cell /	5							
	Semester wise Total	60							
Anı	nual Total (Period of Appraisal)	120							

CATEGORY- II: CO-CURRICULAR AND PROFESSION RELATED ACTIVITIES INCLUDING ADMINISTRATION

To be computed at the end of the period of appraisal for a maximum API score of 35 (**not to be computed by faculty members)

S.	Nature of Activity		API Score				
No.		Max Score	Self-Assessment	Score Awarded by the HOD			
1	Participation in FDPs / MDPs / Refresher Courses	3 .	•				
2	Participation in Conferences, Seminars	3					
3	Organizing of workshops, FDP, Seminars, Training programmes, conferences etc. for faculty	3					
4	Organizing of training programme, Seminars, guest lectures, workshops, Field or Industrial Visits for students	3					
5	Membership of Department Level Committees.	2	** (To be awarded by the HOD)				
6	Membership of Institute Level Committees.	3	(To be awarded by the HOD				
7	Institutional governance responsibilities like HOD / Cell, Dean, Center Supdt., Chief Proctor etc.	5	(To be awarded by the HOD)				
8	Membership of Academic bodies of other institutions like Governing Board, Advisory Board, Academic Council, Board of Studies, Editorial Board of Journals & Institutional Publications/Resource Person	5	.** (To be awarded by the HOD)				
9	Membership of Professional bodies/ Technical Societies like IEEE, ISTE, SAE, CSI, ICEIT	4					
10	Compliance of Notice / Circulars issued by the competent authorities at Department Level	2	** (To be awarded by the HOD)				

	Compliance of Notice / Circulars issued by the competent authorities at Institute Level	2	** (To be awarded by the Director)	
13	Total (II)	35		

CATEGORY - III: RESEARCH AND ACADEMIC CONTRIBUTIONS

To be computed at the end of the period of appraisal for a maximum API score of 45

	Nature of Activity	Score				
S. No.	Research and Academic Contributions	Max API Score Allotted	Self- Appraisal API Score	API Score Awarded by the HOD		
1	Research Publications	10		-		
2	Books Publications	8				
3	Sponsored Research Projects	8				
4	Consultancy Projects	8				
5	Research guidance (M.Tech. & Ph.D) or Academic Qualification up gradation	5				
5	Patents	6				
	Total (III)	45				

Signature of the	Tooch 10 1	
bignature of the	Teacher with date	

Signature of the HOD with date and seal

Note:

- Teachers are required to provide complete list of publications (in referred journals, recognized and reputable journals and periodicals mentioning names of all authors, ISBN/ISSN numbers of journals and year of publications), list of seminars/symposia/conferences/workshops/refresher courses/skill development programmes etc., organized and attended, list of completed/on-going research and consultancy projects with amount and funding agency, list of Patent/Technology transfer/ Product/ Process, list of M.Tech and Ph.D supervised, list of awards/ honours/ recognitions received.
- 2. Teachers are required to enclose documentary evidence items mentioned at Note-1 above.
- 3. Guidelines to compute API scores are provided (please refer Annexure-I).
- 4. All the supporting documents will be in the custody of HODs. Only SAR filled with all details and duly signed by the faculty member with recommendations of HOD will be sent to the Director

		(To be	SECTION filled in by the Head	N B I of the Department)				
A	bstract							
Category	Max. API Score	API Scores secured						
Category I (Total I)	120							
Category II + Category III (Total II + Total III)	80		Recommendation	ns/Remedial Measures suggested:				
Total	200							
Name of the	Head of D)epartmei	nt Date	Signature of the Head of Department				
			SECTION	C				
		()	Γo be filled in by th	e Director)				
Observations/	Recomm	endations	s / Remedial Meast	tres suggested:				
ncrement (s) I s)/year(s)	Recomme	nded / No		crements may be postponed by month				
Oate:	•••••••		SEAL	Signature of the Director				